

SEEC Response to Comments

On proposed amendments to the Organizational Rules of Practice

Statement of Purpose: The proposed amendments establish standards for administratively terminating non-filing committees, electronic service and electronic notice, and the agency's one-year timeline for addressing open complaints. In addition, the proposed amendments contain various minor citational, grammatical, and conforming changes.

Response to Marcus Burnstine comment (Comment 1)

SEEC supports fair elections in Connecticut and these regulations will not hinder that goal. We conducted a hearing on these proposed regulations on September 17, 2005. Thank you for your comments.

Response to Selina Rifkin comment (Comment 2)

The reason for the one-year time limit language in the regulations is to reflect the 2017 law change that required the shortened timetable for resolving complaints (P.A. 17-2). It is not specific to HAVA complaints.

The regulations do not address the accuracy of voter rolls. SEEC is not responsible for maintaining voter rolls.

Thank you for your comments.

Response to Linda Dalessio comment (Comment 3)

The reason for the one-year time limit language in the regulations is to reflect the 2017 law change that required the shortened timetable for resolving complaints (P.A. 17-2). It is not specific to HAVA complaints.

The switch from the Director of Legal Affairs and Enforcement to the Executive Director and General Counsel being the handler of HAVA complaints is because the former position was eliminated (as a state job title and at our agency) in 2011.

SEEC first proposed the amendments to the regulations in May of 2025. The proposed regulations were long overdue for updating. You filed several HAVA complaints in July of 2025. The HAVA-portion of the regulations were not changed. The proposed regulation changes were not made in response to your complaints.

Thank you for your comments.