

From: **sheridaz@optonline.net** <sheridaz@optonline.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: absolutely NUTS!!!!
Date: 18.08.2023 17:17:38 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from sheridaz@optonline.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

How can gasoline cars/trucks sales be banned...who will pay for them in CT? I know I can't! Be serious.

From: **lawyer@wanglvshi.top** <lawyer@wanglvshi.top>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: Acquisition of non-performdepthg assets from Chdepthese court auction
Date: 21.08.2023 20:15:58 (+02:00)
Attachments: Lawyer Wang Guangyu's WeChat QR code.jpg (1 page)

You don't often get email from lawyer@wanglvshi.top. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Purchasdepthg Chdeptha is worried about bedepthg deceiveed and epidemic situation, and Guangdong Jieli Law Firm of Chdeptha guarantees transaction security throughout the process

Hello, if you have a procurement plan depth Chdeptha, Guangdong Jieli Law Firm can cooperate with you once.

Our advantages: 1. The legal experience mdepthmizes the legal risk for the transaction process.

2. There is no entaile to worry about thirst for-sales service. If one product is lost, three will be compensated.

We can also cooperate:

1. participate depthtly set up the office and office of the other party.
2. Busdepthess depthvestigation on depthvestment and fdepthancdepthg depth Chdeptha.
3. Collection of commercial accounts.

Our busdepthess areas: company law, bankruptcy law, commercial law, depththernational commercial law

We can do depth Chdeptha:

1. Represent you and your clients depth disputes, litigation and arbitration depth Chdeptha
2. We can supply sb with sth physical trade guarantee for depththernational trade.
3. We can help you and your customers safeguard their rights depth Chdeptha with respect to depthtellektual property rights. We can basically avoid counterfeitdepthg.
4. depthvestment depth related projects depth Chdeptha.

Contact: Lawyer Wang Guangyu

Tel.: +086-156 9755 1780





From: **raymond rys** <rys_raymond_j@att.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: ban sale gas powered cars
Date: 19.08.2023 11:24:10 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from rys_raymond_j@att.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Il would like to express my view on the ban of sales of gasoline cars as of 2035 ! I dont think that there has been enough though going into this ban . Sometimes it takes a few people out here that to remind you that you represent the people not other states or peoples interests

Right now the electric infra structure is at a point , that we would need brown outs in order to support additional usage by adding on electric cars and trucks! Now lets also get down to the affordability of purchasing and or leasing ! These vehicles prices are really out of the price range of the average person ! Even with tax incentives! Where will people who live in high rise building with several dozen families have access to plugs? Even people who rent in private housing where do they get a charge for their cars or trucks ? Some homes will need additional power added to their own homes , and that can run up to thousands of dollars! This bill that is proposed is still years away as there needs to be better planning before you can pass such radical measures that cause financial hardships for all parties involved!

This bill needs more planning and thought and THIS is CONNECTICUT ! Not California ! Lets start representing us the people of Connecticut !

Raymond J.Rys Sr.
96 Pierson Dr.
Wallingford ,ct. 06492

From: **Rose Elliott** <elliottrose@icloud.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: Enough is enough!
Date: 18.08.2023 18:04:17 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from elliottrose@icloud.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

"NO
to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!"

Rose Elliott, Milford

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Jeffrey DeMaso** <bceagle@att.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: EV mandate
Date: 22.08.2023 16:11:06 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from bceagle@att.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I wanted to express my concern and disagreement with the proposed EV mandate.

I have to say that the Op Ed that I read today from Carol Platt Leibau captures most of my talking points, including the grid capacity, environmental damage from mining and especially child labor involved with mining for the material needed to make EV's.

So rather than regurgitate her points, I wanted to add a few.

1. It has been shown that since EV batteries are heavier, they wear more on the tires resulting in pollution.

<https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2023/07/electric-vehicles-tires-wearing-out-particulates/674750/>

2. It has been shown that EVs capacity is less in cold weather. Global warming hysteries aside, this is something that CT drivers will experience more than CA drivers.

<https://www.motorbiscuit.com/scientific-reason-evs-perform-worse-cold-weather/>

3. Why would we want to replicate the policies of the state from which the most people are fleeing from? And as the Op Ed points out, for such a minor if any improvement to "global warming," which improvement likely does not even factor in the actual making and charging of the EVs. After all, where is the electricity to charge batteries coming from?

4. Lastly, for those of us in houses, condo's and apartments who do not have garages to charge the EVs it is even a greater burden.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter.

Jeffrey A. DeMaso
60 Country Place
Shelton, CT 06484

From: **Patricia** <patricia@plritchie.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: EVs
Date: 17.08.2023 20:42:01 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from patricia@plritchie.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

No, no, no, no, never.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: **ahayden** <ahayden@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: gas-powered cars in CT
Date: 18.08.2023 00:10:24 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from ahayden@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!!

From: **sue gerace** <suegerace@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: I'm saying NO to the ban on gas powered vehicles. Please vote appropriately if this is still a possibility
Date: 21.08.2023 04:42:10 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from suegerace@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Sue Gerace
Wallingford, CT

From: **mmckee21** <mmckee21@yahoo.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: I love gasoline. You can shove your electric piece of shit up your covid lying ass! Stop telling us what we can buy or not buy. Wasn't it enough to eliminate plastic bags? Why don't we eliminate your mansion and your millions you out of touch rich asshole...
Date: 21.08.2023 12:50:38 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from mmckee21@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: **Tracy Laza** <Traybern2586@optimum.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: No!!! No to ban on gas powered cars!!!
Date: 20.08.2023 08:04:39 (+02:00)

[You don't often get email from traybern2586@optimum.net. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Sent from my iPhone

From: **MARK GOLDBERG** <mgb374@cox.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO Ban on Gas Powered Cars
Date: 16.08.2023 17:32:53 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from mgb374@cox.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Attention:

NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!"

Mark Goldberg
NEWINGTON, CT 06111

From: **cathy1099@optonline.net** <cathy1099@optonline.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: No to ban on gas powered vehicles in CT
Date: 16.08.2023 18:06:10 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from cathy1099@optonline.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

No to the ban on gas-powered vehicles in CT.

Catherine Galligan

Stamford, CT 06907

From: **jfbirch@optimum.net** <jfbirch@optimum.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO TO CT BAN ON GAS POWERED EQUIPMENT!
Date: 18.08.2023 09:29:01 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from jfbirch@optimum.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **Amanda Lorraine** <amanda.craven@live.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on CT gas powered cars
Date: 20.08.2023 07:47:34 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from amanda.craven@live.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Legislators and Senators,

I do not support this. If you are a rational, knowledgeable person, you understand the lies that are currently being told about electric cars, and climate change. The detrimental effects on our environment that relate to GMO foods, pesticides, chem trails and contaminated water is actually the climate emergency. The fire hazards of electric vehicles and the unbelievable costs of batteries all in mining, replacement and disposal are a clear money grab and is detrimental to our environment and the child labor that facilitates it. Please, stop the madness in this legislature and Connecticut, the failed Constitutional State. Clean up our elections; we all know they are corrupt.
Thank you

Amanda Craven

Suffield, CT

From: **harold king** <harold.c.king@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: No to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 21.08.2023 16:45:26 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from harold.c.king@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **Linda Liegeot** <linda@ampmailbox.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 18.08.2023 21:25:43 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from linda@ampmailbox.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!

Have a good day.

Linda

From: **ROBERT COCHRAN** <rbcchrn@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 14:06:41 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from rbcchrn@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

ABSOLUTELY NO REASON TO BAN GAS POWERED CARS

From: **Bruce Olson** <blolson37@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: No to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 21.08.2023 13:56:40 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from blolson37@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO NO NO! THIS IS AMERICA AND WE SHOULD CONTINUE TO HAVE CHOICES IN OUR SUPPOSABLY FREE COUNTRY!!

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: **Fred Townsend** <ftownsend@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 13:07:05 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from ftownsend@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

My home electric bill is killing me. Now you want to add my car to high expensive rates of electricity. VOTE THIS PROPOSAL DOWN.

Fred Townsend
Wolcott

From: **Andrew Adamczyk** <adamczyka@outlook.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 20.08.2023 22:37:04 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from adamczyka@outlook.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

Banning, or even phasing out gas-powered cars is short-sighted.

While electric vehicles do serve a purpose for many people, they are not suitable for everyone or every need.

EVs are expensive. Even with state & federal rebates & incentives, only the wealthy can afford EVs.

EVs are built with materials that are not available domestically in sufficient quantities to replace even a fraction of gas-powered cars with EVs. Widespread, or worse, mandated production of EVs makes us even more reliant on China and the Chinese Communist Party.

There is not enough lithium being mined domestically to satisfy the desired pace of conversion to EVs.

Mining rare earth minerals in the quantities necessary would cause vast environmental damage.

Of course, lithium and rare earth minerals can be obtained from China, where they do not care how much environmental damage their mining operations cause.

Batteries beyond their useful life (estimated at about 5 years) become hazardous waste.

EVs take too long to charge. The majority of people do not want an EV, and are not willing to sit and wait for an hour to recharge, when they can refuel their Internal Combustion vehicle in two or three minutes.

Connecticut has among the highest electricity prices in the nation. Our policy makers in Hartford have also made it more difficult to build new power plants. To add insult to injury, they closed the trash-to-energy plant without having a replacement plan in place. So we have lost power generation capacity, in addition to increasing trash disposal costs.

Increasing demand for electricity, while stifling the supply of electricity would cripple our economy, and would hit low-income people the worst.

While EVs can be one component of our transportation strategy, it is never wise to place all of your eggs into one basket. There will always be a need for internal combustion vehicles, whether they run on gasoline, propane, compressed natural gas, or hydrogen.

Please do not mimic California. Have you looked at California lately? The state is a mess, and is losing population in droves, due to its failed policies.

NO to banning or phasing out gas-powered vehicles.

Thank you

Andrew Adamczyk

Ansonia, Connecticut



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: **Judith Erskine** <jcersk@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 15:47:45 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from jcersk@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Ban gas powered cars in CT

From: **Maureen R Waldron** <waldronjm@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 15:41:14 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from waldronjm@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!"

Maureen Waldron
Wallingford, CT 06493

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Cynthia A Leander** <cleander@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: No to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 20.08.2023 00:34:59 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from cleander@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Forcing electric vehicles on the citizens is a BAD idea.

Mining the rare and limited minerals Cadmium in the Congo and Lithium in Afghanistan is destroying the earth.

The heavier electric vehicles will damage our roads.

Our electric grid cannot handle the added stress

You cannot travel extended distances without stopping for an extended amount of time to recharge.

The price of these vehicles is more than most people can afford. I know I have only bought the lower cost, most fuel efficient vehicle that I can find.

The fire risk with these vehicles is not worth the risk.

Cindy Leander
Windsor Locks, CT

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: **action241@comcast.net** <action241@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 15:38:12 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from action241@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!

-Eric Bowden, Ledyard

Sent from my iPhone

From: **gerald brunetto** <98bones@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 19.08.2023 16:25:43 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from 98bones@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **Tommie Mathieu** <tpmathieu@cox.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: No to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 19.08.2023 11:40:55 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from tpmathieu@cox.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!"
Tommie mathieu. West Suffield Ct

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Keith Kleinschmidt** <kleinsch_keith@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 18:06:39 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from kleinsch_keith@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

A ban on gas powered cars is insane. It would create a lot of problems for families and businesses.

Keith Kleinschmidt

From: **madams@southingtonpainting.com** <madams@southingtonpainting.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 10:36:52 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from madams@southingtonpainting.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

As a business owner and traveler from Connecticut to Florida several times per year I say NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in Connecticut. As our company does work out of state frequently this would have an effect on us being able to service these clients and keep our Connecticut employees working. As a business owner the state continues to be an unfriendly place to run a business.

Mark Adams, CEO
Southington Painting Company LLC

As a resident these actions I believe are meant to restrict movements and our freedoms as Americans to move freely about OUR country and our right to choose how we move.

Mark Adams
1085 West Center Street
Southington Connecticut.



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: **Sean** <spkap@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 10:31:54 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from spkap@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT

Sean Kaplan

From: **Steven** <aszak3@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT!
Date: 17.08.2023 10:21:09 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from aszak3@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas powered cars in CT.
Steven Pazsak / Wolcott, Ct.

Sent from my Metro by T-Mobile 4G LTE Android device

From: **Gil Wilcox** <stin108@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: No to the ban on gas-powered cars in CT! So, in Connecticut where does the electricity come from? I drive every year to Utah, I am looking forward to that trip, stopping every 4 hrs to charge the battery. Get real. If electric cars are good for so...
Date: 19.08.2023 22:16:31 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from stin108@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **Cathy Lapollo** <cathylapollo@icloud.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 22.08.2023 08:46:35 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from cathylapollo@icloud.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

"NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!"

Connecticut, once the Constitution State, proposes regulations that will eliminate individual's rights, diminish household incomes, and place individuals in harm's way. And adding insult to injury, as taxpayers, we're paying for these injustices.

(I am not going to go into all the issues with EVs because others will do so.)

I sit here fuming: Where does it end? When do I get a choice? During Covid, I got a taste of "executive orders" - orders that did not consider individuals with disabilities. What else will you dictate because YOU know what's best for my life? What other restrictions and burdens will YOU place on me to make living for me more difficult? Think! Why would I want to get out of my car to charge it, exposing myself as an "easy mark"? Maybe it would never happen, but YOU would be making me live with the possibility, and experience the anxiety. Crime is up; that's another story.

That a regulation is being proposed for EVs following California standards is ridiculous beyond consideration - just consider the difference in climate conditions and the effect of cold temperatures on EVs.

Governor Lamont, once again, can follow what other governors have done. And if it fails, culpability is removed.

If EVs were such a wonderful idea, then let individuals decide for themselves. And let the politicians that approve this be held accountable, individually and personally.

Putting others and their property in harm's way should have a consequence. And if the Governor and others strongly believe in this regulation, there shouldn't be an issue.

Respectfully,
Catherine Lapollo
Berlin, CT

Sent from my iPhone

From: **Vytautas Jankauskas** <vjankauskas54@gmail.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 09:31:47 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from vjankauskas54@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!

Vytautas Jankauskas
Kensington, CT

From: **JOSEPH HNAT** <joseph.hnat@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 19.08.2023 15:35:32 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from joseph.hnat@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **Caroline** <smithca@danbury.k12.ct.us>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 18.08.2023 10:27:11 (+02:00)

[You don't often get email from smithca@danbury.k12.ct.us. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing NO to the ban on gas-powered vehicles that Governor Lamont is proposing!

Caroline Smith

Bethel, CT

Sent from my iPhone

From: **david** <davida@juno.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 09:23:40 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from davida@juno.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
You are going too far too fast.

From: **tina iwanicki** <iwat@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 18.08.2023 12:58:08 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from iwat@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Tina iwanicki, lisbon, ct. I urge my representatives to vote no to the ban on gas powered cars in ct. I have heard that this can't be changed because of an old agreement we have with California, so good luck with canceling this. The middle class is going to suffer even more with this act, most people can't afford these electric cars and the democrats know this and their goal is to ruin the Middle class.

From: **Donna Long** <odonna@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 20.08.2023 11:28:48 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from odonna@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Absolutely NOT! It's hard enough for law abiding, tax paying citizens to make ends meet now. This is absurd for the average person. Unfortunately with inflation and interest rates we cannot even move to another state. It is outrageous everywhere. A travesty for average American people!

From: **donna carotenuto** <donnacarot63@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 08:36:37 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from donnacarot63@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

“NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!”

Donna Carotenuto
East Haven

From: **lynn robinson** <lynnrob@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 11:54:49 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from lynnrob@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I think it is a bad idea to electric cars.

It will be a hard ship on a lot of people.

Linda Robinson

From: **MARCOUX GAETAN** <guyevy@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 11:37:20 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from guyevy@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

No ban on gas powered CT. cars . Gaetan Marcoux Prospect Ct.

From: **MARCOUX GAETAN** <guyevy@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 11:35:51 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from guyevy@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

It will ruin the economy ! What are you people thinking of ! Guy Marcoux

From: **Katherine A. Calise** <kcalise@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 18:46:20 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from kcalise@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

DO NOT BAN GAS POWERED CARS, I AM TOTALLY AGAINST IT.

Kathy Calise
Westport Ct



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: **Maggie Slagel** <bobandmaggie81@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 11:32:37 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from bobandmaggie81@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered cars!

Margaret Slagel
Lakeville, CT

From: **RON GAUDET** <oldlymegaudets@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 07:23:37 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from oldlymegaudets@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!

Ron and Paula Gaudet

Old Lyme

From: **JOSEPH UHOUSE** <joeuhouse@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 08:05:38 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from joeuhouse@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **RICHARD MEINEKE** <rsmeineke@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 14:23:29 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from rsmeineke@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

No to the ban as of 2035 on gas powered cars. It may be coming but that is too soon with the way the economy is and also with the issues with the batteries in those cars. Let them improve them before this mandate!

Thank you,
Susan Meineke
Ansonia,CT



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: **RON THRESHER** <ronthresher@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 01:56:11 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from ronthresher@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Ron Thresher
Coventry, CT

From: **sbroderick@netzero.net** <sbroderick@netzero.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 01:19:14 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from sbroderick@netzero.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not ban gasoline automobiles. I can hardly afford my electric bill, now...I will not be able to afford an electric car. Thank you. Sharon Broderick Brookfield CT

From: **tom fowler** <tefowler@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 00:37:55 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from tefowler@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Tom Fowler Ansonia, Ct

From: **Liz.Keppel** <liz.keppel@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 17.08.2023 10:15:57 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from liz.keppel@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Elizabeth Keppel, Simsbury

NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!

From: **Janel Carroll** <rn.624@icloud.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 20:37:24 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from rn.624@icloud.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

"NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!"

Janel beard ~shelton

From: **JAMES ENGLISH** <jgenglish@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 22:28:54 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from jgenglish@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Granby ct resident. The economy is tough enough for most citizens without this new proposal.
Jim

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: **hyankowski** <hyankowski@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 18:13:19 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from hyankowski@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

The plan unrealistic our electrical grids will not support it

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: **ANDREW KOTAS** <andrewkotas@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
CC: **andrewkotas@sbcglobal.net** <andrewkotas@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 21:48:34 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from andrewkotas@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Following are my thoughts on gas powered cars.

"NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!"

Thank you

Andrew Kotas
Roxbury, CT

From: **RICHARD TULLOCH** <richtulloch@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the BAN on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 21:17:29 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from richtulloch@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **Janet Benedict** <seniorpt@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 21:16:42 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from seniorpt@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

This idea is ridiculous. People should have the choice to decide what type of car they want. Stop forcing these crazy ideas on the people of CT.

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

From: **Joseph Kowalczyk III** <mymaxk@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 21:06:19 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from mymaxk@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

From: **Steven** <aszak3@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 17:00:01 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from aszak3@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Steve Pazzak / Wolcott.

Sent from my Metro by T-Mobile 4G LTE Android device

From: **Steven** <aszak3@comcast.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 16:58:38 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from aszak3@comcast.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

NO, to the ban on gas-powered CT. cars.

Sent from my Metro by T-Mobile 4G LTE Android device,

From: **Douglas Fichtel** <fichtel@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 20:49:57 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from fichtel@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

This is not a good idea.
Douglas Fichtel
75 Raven Terrace
Stratford 06614

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: **D. Savino** <dsavino64@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 20:36:40 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from dsavino64@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Please!

NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!

Connecticut taxpayers do no want this!

**Dolores Savino
Town of Windham CT**

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: **D. Savino** <dsavino64@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 20:33:52 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from dsavino64@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Please!

NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!

Connecticut taxpayers do no want this!

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: **Susan Sangster** <susan.sangster@snet.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!
Date: 16.08.2023 20:29:20 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from susan.sangster@snet.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I totally opposed to the proposed ban on gas powered vehicle sales.

Susan Sangster

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

From: **Stephen Giller** <bgiller@cox.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
CC: **Tami.Zawistowski@housegop.ct.gov** <Tami.Zawistowski@housegop.ct.gov>;
John.A.Kissel@cga.ct.gov <John.A.Kissel@cga.ct.gov>
Subject: Opposition to Proposed Ban son Sale of Gas Powered Cars and Trucks
Date: 17.08.2023 12:15:15 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from bgiller@cox.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I unquestionably oppose this proposed ban.

- It is an overreach by government into consumer markets.
- Consideration of such a far-reaching regulation without a vote by elected officials is absurd.
- Connecticut's electric market is not prepared to handle the additional demand this would create. Based on past performance, it is inconceivable that Eversource and state government could successfully develop, test, and implement the changes necessary to support it in only 12 years.

If EVs are a superior product consumers will purchase them.

Thank you,
Stephen Giller
West Suffield, CT

From: **CHARLES WHITEHEAD** <redsoxchamps24@sbcglobal.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: Please say NO to the ban on gasoline powered cars
Date: 22.08.2023 17:27:01 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from redsoxchamps24@sbcglobal.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom it May Concern:

Please say NO to the proposed ban on gasoline powered automobiles. This would limit our freedom of choice as well as limit our ability to afford to purchase an automobile. It appears to be a very drastic and unnecessary measure.

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Jean Whitehead
Tolland, CT

From: **Rosemarie Hecht** <rosemariehecht@att.net>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: PR2023-023
Date: 18.08.2023 17:46:06 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from rosemariehecht@att.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I object to PR2023-023 for the following reasons:

The infrastructure for power does not exist and will not exist to provide electrical power to these vehicles. A major upgrade to the electrical grid for Connecticut is decades and vast amounts of money away.

Blindly following regulations for another state across the nation with very different climate and topography differences than Connecticut with very different available power generation options is very unwise. Following federal regulations and adapting these to our state is a better choice.

I do not see a cost and benefit analysis to justify this complete upheaval of our transportation system. A real and objective analysis must be done, screaming CLIMATE EMERGENCY!! is not justification.

I believe you are only looking at one science. This World is forever changing! It's the nature of the beast! Can we do better? Yes! Let's start with Govts other bright idea of protecting the tree and going to plastic. So much good that did for us. Where is all the recycling? We can't even do that yet. We have only one EV battery recycling complex in NV. They can't keep up! EV batteries are not supposed to end up in landfills, but they have. Even here in CT! You're poisoning the ground because you think you're doing something good for the air! Look at our oceans. You say they're warming? Why wouldn't they be? You dump billions of waste water into the rivers that flow to the oceans! Hospital waste too!!!! Do you think the treatment at the MDC is killing the bacteria? I highly doubt it. Three cases of flesh eating disease in the LI Sound. Doesn't surprise me one bit! I wouldn't swim in any water anymore! And you want us to believe you when you're pushing EV's? It's already been projected we won't have enough lithium to go electric and we will be a prisoner of China! Oh yes, you've really thought this out! And child labor mining the minerals? And the pollution it puts into the air is more than a car would give off in its lifetime! Many of us have done our homework because we like science. Your party has killed our economy over fear mongering! You should be ashamed of yourselves!!! Maybe it's time to get off your pedestals and actually take a good hard look at the state of your State!

Rosemarie Hecht
254 White Birch Road
East Hampton, CT 06424

From: **nmka59@icloud.com** <nmka59@icloud.com>
To: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: Proposed Emission Standards for 2027 model year and later Light Duty Vehicles
Date: 16.08.2023 17:21:44 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from nmka59@icloud.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

As a resident of Connecticut, I wish to register my comments on the proposed ban on gasoline powered cars. I do not want a ban on gasoline powered vehicles in Connecticut. It is not for the government to control the decisions on what type of vehicle I should choose to drive.

"NO to the ban on gas-powered CT cars!"

Nancy Anderson
Redding CT 06896

From: **Dominic Rapini** <dominic@dominicforct.us>
To: **David Holman** <dave@dandeholman.com>; **Chris Coyle** <cbrucecoy@protonmail.com>
CC: **DEEP MobileSources** <DEEP.MobileSources@ct.gov>
Subject: Re: Comments on Lamont Proposed Ban On Gas Powered Cars
Date: 22.08.2023 15:24:20 (+02:00)

You don't often get email from dominic@dominicforct.us. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Nicely Done David!

In Liberty....

Dominic Rapini
293-645-0914
Branford, CT

Twitter - @dominicforct
Facebook - @dominicforct

On Aug 22, 2023, at 2:45 PM, David Holman <dave@dandeholman.com> wrote:

Dear State Legislators,

Please consider my comments/reasons to reject banning gas-powered cars in Connecticut starting in 2035. My reasons are as follows:

1. Electric Vehicles (EV's) are heavy. Because of the batteries they require, they are twice as heavy as gas powered vehicles, and therefore will cause twice as much damage when they are involved in accidents. The probability that EV's will cause fatal accidents is also twice as likely. Existing parking garages were built to handle X amount of weight. If we double the amount of weight an average car weighs, existing parking garages will not be able to handle the excess weight and the possibility of collapse magnifies. In fact, at least one parking garage has already collapsed because of this reality.
2. Electric Vehicles (EV's) are dangerous. When their batteries come in contact with water, fires have occurred. When EV's are involved in accidents, they explode with violent fireballs, not just once, but continuously for long periods of time. It is almost impossible to put out the resulting fires. Several you tube videos exist showing these explosions and I suggest you check them out.
3. Electric Vehicles (EV's) cost significantly more than gas powered vehicles. A conservative estimate is \$13,000 more than a comparable gas-powered vehicle. We are getting crushed by inflation and now you want to mandate very expensive EV's. This is unconscionable.
4. When Electric Vehicles (EV's) need their batteries replaced, the cost will be \$15,000 to \$20,000 dollars. What I am hearing is that EV owners are having to replace their batteries after only two years. How do you expect the average citizen to pay for these?
5. We do not have the domestic resources to build Electric Vehicles. Lithium comes mostly from China or countries not friendly to the United States. By mandating that only EV's be sold in Connecticut beginning in 2035, you are making the USA dependent on our enemies, and are enriching our enemies. Not a great strategy.

6. To mine enough lithium to make one EV car battery, 500,000 lbs. of earth must be displaced. Also, the amount of carbon emissions necessary to displace 500,000 lbs. of earth will exceed the CO2 emissions savings resulting from the operation of an EV. China, India, and most rare mineral rich countries don't give a hoot about the environment. They are building coal plants like crazy and will strip mine no problem. We would be in effect financing their polluting the environment. We would be empowering countries that want to destroy us. It makes zero sense.
7. What do you do with the EV batteries when they have to be disposed of. The batteries themselves are way more dangerous for the environment than batteries used in gas powered vehicles.
8. We don't have the infrastructure to support mandating that only EV's are sold in Connecticut starting in 2035. Even if we have enough charging stations, we don't have the power grid to support the electric demand that this mandate would create. The only way to increase the capacity to the electric grid would be to build a lot more power plants, including coal fired power plants, which would defeat the whole purpose of mandating only electric vehicles.
9. The electric waves bouncing around in all Electric Vehicles is potentially catastrophic for human health. Before mandating a change to all EV's starting in 2035, you need to full explore all the possible harmful health side effects.
10. Many of our politicians, maybe some of our Ct. Politicians, are taking bribes from China. They are selling our country out to enrich themselves. There is no other reason to explain their support for this destructive legislation. We cannot let this legislation pass.

Passing this legislation to save the environment is a ridiculous argument. We have the cleanest air and cleanest water of any industrialized country in the world. By passing this legislation you will be polluting the environment far more because of the above reasons. You will be making us subservient to China. You will be hurting everyone financially unnecessarily. You will be endangering everyone's health unnecessarily. You will be making the biggest mistake you could possibly make.

Thank you,

Dave Holman
6 Sparrow Bush Lane
Guilford, Ct. 06437
(860) 213-1790